UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,950	10/03/2005	Darras L J Hancock	U 015536-8	7243
140 LADAS & PAF	7590 01/19/201 RRY LLP	EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61ST		CHEN, JOSE V		
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/517,950	HANCOCK, DARRAS LJ			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	José V. Chen	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,7-9,11-14,16,19-22,25 and 26 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 7-9, 11-14, 16, 19-22, 25, 26 i 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. s/are rejected.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeded a splicant may not request that any objection to the explacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Explanation is objected to by the Explanation is objected.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations of claim 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 3

Claims 9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims call for attachment structure including an applied adhesive, double sided adhesive tape and mechanical means and an opaque structure being metal. However, it is not understood how the attachment means includes an applied adhesive, double sided adhesive tape and mechanical means including screws rivets and the like (claim 9). With regard to claim 11, it is unclear how a metal structure is opaque.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 19-22, 25, 26, 9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear if applicant intended to claim a combination including guard members, rail fixed

horizontal surface, edge since a guard member and rail are claimed with specific interconnection with a horizontal surface and edge such horizontal surface and rail not being positively claimed making the metes and bounds of the claims unclear and confusing to a potential infringer. Clarification and correction are required. Further, applicant includes remarks that are directed to the relationship of such making the scope of the claim indefinite. The expression "and the like" (claim 9 is unclear and indefinite. Further, claim(s) 11 fail(s) to recite sufficient structural elements and interconnection of the elements to positively position and define how an opaque structure is metallic so that an integral structure able to function as claimed is recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 12, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cyrluk. The patent to Cyrluk teaches structure as claimed including a guard (200), rail structure (122), the height and material of the guard provide a barrier, the material is opaque and the guard being demountable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cyrluk. The patent to Cyrluk teaches structure substantially as claimed, as discussed above, attachment means, the guard made of different materials and place at different locations and of different sizes. To provide a plurality of different types of attachment structures including applied adhesives, double sided adhesives, and mechanical means would have been a matter of desirability of how much securement is deemed necessary which would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art and further would have been reasonably predictable since such would perform as expected, thereby providing structure as claimed. The method would have been obvious in view of the structures. It is noted that a structure is entitled to all of it's uses. The structure of Cyrluk performs as claimed.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cyrluk as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Sargent. The patent to Cyrluk teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including a

plurality of guard members, teaching of using different dimensions, the only difference being that the height of each is not different. However, the patent to Sargent teaches the use of providing different heights of a plurality of guard members to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of Lindstrom to include guard members of different heights, as taught by Sargent since such structures are used in the same intended purpose and would have been a predictable result, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references to Dent, Brinker, Triplett, Huff, Dylag, Vogler, Hoke et al teach structure similar to applicant's.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Allen Shriver can be reached on (571)272-6698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,950 Page 7

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

José V. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

/José V. Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637